No.



200000223

THE UNITED STATES OF ANTERIOA

TO ALL TO WHOM THESE PRESENTS SHAME COME:

Hioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc.

There has been presented to the

Secretary of Agriculture

AN APPLICATION REQUESTING A CERTIFICATE OF PROTECTION FOR AN ALLEGED DISTINCT VARIETY OF SEXUALLY REPRODUCED, OR TUBER PROPAGATED PLANT, THE NAME AND DESCRIPTION OF WHICH ARE CONTAINED IN THE APPLICATION AND EXHIBITS, A COPY OF WHICH IS HEREUNTO ANNEXED AND MADE A PART HEREOF, AND THE VARIOUS REQUIREMENTS OF LAW IN SUCH CASES MADE AND PROVIDED HAVE BEEN COMPLIED WITH, AND THE TITLE THERETO IS, FROM THE RECORDS OF THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE, IN THE APPLICANT(S) INDICATED IN THE SAID COPY, AND WHEREAS, UPON DUE EXAMINATION MADE, THE SAID APPLICANT(S) IS (ARE) ADJUDGED TO BE ENTITLED TO A CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION UNDER THE LAW.

NOW, THEREFORE, THIS CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION IS TO GRANT UNTO THE SAID APPLICANT(S) AND THE SUCCESSORS, HEIRS OR ASSIGNS OF THE SAID APPLICANT(S) FOR THE TERM OF TWENTY YEARS FROM THE DATE OF THIS GRANT, SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF THE REQUIRED FEES AND PERIODIC REPLENISHMENT OF VIABLE BASIC SEED OF THE VARIETY IN A PUBLIC REPOSITORY AS PROVIDED BY LAW, THE RIGHT TO EXCLUDE OTHERS FROM SELLING THE VARIETY, OR OFFERING IT FOR SALE, OR REPRODUCING IT, OR IMPORTING IT, OR EXPORTING IT, CONDITIONING IT FOR PROPAGATION, OR STOCKING IT FOR ANY OF THE ABOVE PURPOSE, OR USING IT IN LUCING A HYBRID OR DIFFERENT VARIETY THEREFROM, TO THE EXTENT PROVIDED BY THE PLANT VARIETY COTION ACT. (84 STAT. 1542, AS AMENDED, 7 U.S.C. 2321 ET SEQ.)

CORN, FIELD

'PH1GD'

In Vestimonn Threest, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the seal of the Plant Pariety Protection Office to be affixed at the City of Washington, D.C. this thirtieth day of January, in the year two thousand two.

anteneman

ctary of Agriculture

Jaom. Jabal

Commissioner Plant Variety Protection Office Auxosiliural Marketina Service

The undersigned owner(s) is(are) the owner of this sexually reproduced or tuber propagated plant variety, and believe(s) that the variety is new, distinct, uniform, and stable as required in Section 42, and is entitled to protection under the provisions of Section 42 of the Plant Variety Protection Act.

Owner(s) is(are) informed that false representation herein can jeopardize protection and results in penaltie SIGNATURE OF OWNER SIGNATURE OF OWNER NAME (Please print or type) NAME (Please print or type) Steven R. Anderson CAPACITY OR TITLE CAPACITY OR TITLE DATE 4/24/2000 Senior Research Associate

INSTRUCTIONS

INSTRUCTIONS 200000 223 3 COMPLETE TO be effectively filed with the Plant Variety protection Office (PVPO), ALL of the following items must be received in the PVPO: (4) Completed application form signed by the owner; (2) completed Exhibits A,B,C,E; (3) for a seed reproduced variety at least 2,500 viable untreated seeds, for a hybrid variety sy Irsdy 2,500 untreated seeds of each line necessary to reproduce the variety, or for tuber reproduced varieties verification that a viable (in the sense that it will reproduce an entire plant) tissue culture will be deposited and maintained in a approved public repository; (4) check drawn on a U.S. bank for \$2,450 (\$300 filling fee and \$2,150 examination fee), payable to "Treasurer of the United States" (See Section 97.6 of the Regulations and Rules of Practice.) Partial applications will be held in the PVPO for not more than 90 days, then returned to the applicant as unfiled. Mail application and other requirements to Plant Variety Protection Office, AMS, USDA, Room 500, NAL Building, 10301 Baltimore Avenue, Beltsville, MD 20705-2351. Retain one copy for your files. All items on the face of the application are self explanatory unless noted below. Corrections on the application form and exhibits must be initialed and dated. DO NOT use masking materials to make corrections. If a certificate is allowed, you will be requested to send a check payable to "Treasurer of the United States" in the amount of \$300 for issuance of the certificate. Certificates will be issued to owner, not licensee or agent.

> Plant Variety Protection Office Telephone: (301)504-5518 FAX: (301)504-5291

Homepage: http://www.ams.usda.gov/science/pvp.htm

ITEM

- 18a. Give: the genealogy, including public and commercial varieties, lines, or clones used, and the breeding method;
 - (2) the details of subsequent stages of selection and multiplication;
 - evidence of uniformity and stability; and
 - the type and frequency of variants during reproduction and multiplication and state how these variants may be identified.
- 18b. Give a summary of the variety's distinctness. Clearly state how this application variety may be distinguished from all other varieties in the same crop. If the new variety is most similar to one variety or a group of related varieties:
 - (1) identify these varieties and state all differences objectively;
 - attach statistical data for characters expressed numerically and demonstrate that these are clear differences; and
 - submit, if helpful, seed and plant specimens of photographs (prints) of seed and plant comparisons which clearly indicate distinctness.
- Exhibit C forms are available from the PVPO for most crops; specify crop kind. Fill in Exhibit C (Objective Description of Variety) form as completely 18c as possible to describe your variety.
- 18d. Optional additional characteristics and/or photographs. Describe any additional characteristics that cannot be accurately conveyed in Exhibit C. Use comparative varieties as is necessary to reveal more accurately the characteristics that are difficult to describe, such as plant habit, plant disease resistance, etc.
- Section 52(5) of the Act required applicants to furnish a statement of the basis of the applicant's ownership. An Exhibit E form is 18e. available from the PVPO.
- If "Yes" is specified (seed of this variety be sold by variety name only, as a class of certified seed), the applicant may NOT reverse 19. this affirmative decision after the variety has been sold and so labeled, the decision published, or the certificate issued. However, if "No" has been specified, applicant may change the choice. (See Regulations and Rules of Practice, Section 7.103).
- See Sections 41, 42, and 43 of the Act and Section 97.5 of the regulations for eligibility requirements. 22.
- 23. See Section 5.5 of the Act for instructions on claiming the benefit of an earlier filing date.
- 22. CONTINUED FROM FRONT (Please provide the date of first sale, disposition, transfer, or use for each country and the circumstances, if the variety (including any harvested material) or a hybrid produced from this variety has been sold, disposed of, transferred, or used in the U.S. or other countries.)

Nov. 1, 1998 France.

Nov. 1 1999 United States, Canada, France, Germany, United Kingdom, Belgium, Luxemburg, Netherlands, Switzerland

23. CONTINUED FROM FRONT (Please give the country, date of filing or issuance, and assigned reference number, if the variety or any component of the variety is protected by intellectual property right (Plant Breeder's Right or Patent).

NOTES; It is the responsibility of the applicant/owner to keep the PVPO informed of any changes of address or change of ownership or assignment or owner's representative during the life of the application/certificate. There is no charge for filling a change of address. The fee for filling a change of ownership or assignment or any modification of owner's name is specified in Section 97.175 of the regulations. (See Section 101 of the Act, and Sections 97.130, 97.131, 97.175(h) of Regulations and Rules of Practice.)

To avoid conflict with other variety names in use, the applicant should check the variety names proposed by contacting: Seed Branch, AMS, USDA, Room 213, Building 306, Beltsville Agricultural Research Center--East, Beltsville, MD 20705. Telephone: (301) 504-8089.

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 30 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instruction, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate of any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Department of Agriculture, Clearance Officer, OIRM, AG Box 7630, Jamie L. Whitten Building, Washington, D.C. 20250. When replying, refer to OMB No. 0581-0055 and form number in your letter. Under the PRA of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in its programs on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, religion, age, disability, political beliefs, and marital or familial status. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs). Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact the USDA Office of Communications at (202) 720-2791. To file a complaint, write the Secretary of Agriculture, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250, or call (202) 720-7327 (voice) or (202) 720-1127 (TDD). USDA is an equal employment opportunity employer.

Pedigree: PHFR8/PHTD5)XC51W21WA22X

200000223

Pioneer Line PH1GD, Zea mays L., a dent corn inbred, was developed by Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc. from the single cross hybrid PHFR8 X PHTD5 (PVP Certificate No. 9400095) using the pedigree method of plant breeding. Varieties PHFR8 and PHTD5 are proprietary inbred lines of Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc. Selfing was done from the above hybrid for 9 generations using pedigree selection. During line development, crosses were made to inbred testers for the purpose of estimating the line's combining ability. Yield trials were grown at Willmar, Minnesota as well as other Pioneer research locations. After initial testing, additional hybrid combinations have been evaluated and subsequent generations of the line have been grown and hand-pollinated with observations again made for uniformity.

Variety PHFR8 was derived by pedigree selection from the single cross hybrid PHH93 (PVP Certificate Number 8800216) X PHR25 (PVP Certificate Number 8800002).

Variety PH1GD has shown uniformity and stability for all traits as described in Exhibit C - "Objective Description of Variety". It has been self-pollinated and ear-rowed 9 generations with careful attention paid to selection criteria and uniformity of plant type to assure genetic homozygousity and phenotypic stability. The line has been increased both by hand and in isolated fields with continued observations for uniformity and stability for 4 generations during the final stages of inbred development and seed multiplication. Very high standards for genetic purity have been established morphologically using field observations and electrophoretically using sound lab molecular marker methodology.

No variant traits have been observed or are expected in PH1GD.

The criteria used in the selection of PH1GD were yield, both per se and in hybrid combinations; late season plant health, grain quality, stalk lodging resistance, and kernel size, especially important in production. Other selection criteria include: ability to germinate in adverse conditions; number of tillers, especially important in production because having numerous tillers increases hybrid production costs spent on detasseling; disease and insect resistance; pollen yield and tassel size.

Season/Year	Inbreeding Level of Pedigree Grown
Pedigree Grown	
Summer 1990	F0
PHFR8, PHTD5	
Winter 1990	F1
PHFR8/PHTD5	
Summer 1992	F2
PHFR8/PHTD5)X	
Summer 1993	F3
PHFR8/PHTD5)XC5	
Winter 1993	F4
PHFR8/PHTD5)XC51	
Summer 1994	F5
PHFR8/PHTD5)XC51W2	
Winter 1994	F6
PHFR8/PHTD5)XC51W21	
Summer 1995	F7
PHFR8/PHTD5)XC51W21WA2	
Winter 1995	F8
PHFR8/PHTD5)XC51W21WA22	
Summer 1996	F9
PHFR8/PHTD5)XC51W21WA22X	

^{*}PH1GD was selfed and ear-rowed from F3 through F9 generation.
#Uniformity and stability were established from F5 through F8 generation and beyond when seed supplies were increased.

Exhibit B: Novelty Statement

Variety PH1GD mostly resembles Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc. proprietary inbred line PHTD5 (PVP Certificate No. 9400095). The data in Tables 1A and 1B are from paired comparisons collected primarily in Johnston and Ankeny, IA. The data in Table 2 are from paired comparisons at multiple locations grown primarily in the adapted growing area of PH1GD. The traits collectively show measurable differences between the two varieties.

Variety PH1GD has a lower number of tassel primary branches (4.2 vs 9.2) than PHTD5 (Table 1A, 1B).

Variety PH1GD has a smaller tassel size score (TASSZ) (4.4 vs 5.7) than PHTD5 (Table 2).

Variety PH1GD has a taller plant height (PLTHT) (195.8 cm vs 176.5 cm) than PHTD5 (Table 2).

Variety PH1GD has a lower tassel weight (TASWT) (2.3 g vs 3.6 g) than PHTD5 (Table 2).



A t-test was used to compare differences between means and the appropriate parameters have been included. It is difficult to collect standard deviations for table 2 due to the way the historical data was stored.

Exhibit B Novelty Statement Tables

Exhibit B: Novelty Statement Tables

Table 1A: Data from Johnston, IA at 2 different environments in 1997 and 1999 are supporting evidence for differences between PH1GD and PHTD5. Locations had different environmental conditions. Environments had different planting dates and were in different fields.

Prob (2- tail) Pooled	0.000	0.018	0.000	0.000
StdDevi StdDev Std Std DF t-Value Prob (2-ation-1 iation-2 Error-1 Error-2 Pooled Pooled Pooled	-7.65	-2.98	-6.96	-9.95
DF Pooled	8	8	8	8
Std Error-2	0.510	1.020	0.510	0.490
Std Error-1	1.483 1.140 0.663 0.510	2.280 0.510	0.837 1.140 0.374	0.316 0.490
StdDev iation-2	1.140		1.140	1.095
StdDevi ation-1	1.483	1.140	0.837	0.707
Mean (10.6 -6.4	-3.4	4.4	-5.8
Mean- 2	10.6	7.8	8.6	9.8
Mean- 1	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.0
Count-	ည	2	ဍ	ည
Count-	2	2	ဍ	5
variety- 2	PHTD5	PHTD5	PHTD5	PHTD5
variety-1	PH1GD	PH1GD	PH1GD	PH1GD
station loc year Traits variety-1 variety- Count- Count- Mean Mean StdDevi StdDev Std 2 1 2 Diff ation-1 lation-2 Error-	Y212 1999 tassel primary branch PH1GD PHTD5 (# of primary branches)	1997 tassel primary branch PH1GD PHTD5 (# of primary branches)	1997 tassel primary branch PH1GD PHTD5 (# of primary branches)	1999 tassel primary branch PH1GD PHTD5 (# of primary branches)
Year	1999 tas (# נ	1997 tas (# (1997 tas (# נ	1999 tas (# נ
100°	Y212	21	20N 1	20N
station	H	ᆼ	AD	AD

Locations had different environmental conditions. Environments had different planting dates and were in different fields. Tables below show means broken out Table 1B: Summary data from Johnston, IA across environments in 1997 and 1999 are supporting evidence for differences between PH1GD and PHTD5. by year and means broken out across years.

OF t-Value Prob (2- oled Pooled tail) Pooled	000:0	0.000
t-Value Pooled	-6.19	-12.20
ount- Mean-2 Mean StdDevi StdDev StdErr StdError DF 2 Diff ation-1 iation-2 or-1 -2 Pooled	18	18
StdError -2	-3.9 0.949 1.751 0.300 0.554 18	0.359
StdErr or-1	0.300	0.348
StdDev iation-2	1.751	1.135
StdDevi ation-1	0.949	-6.1 1.101 1.135 0.348
Mean Diff		
Mean-2	8.2	10.2
Mean-1	4.3	4.1
\circ	10	10
Count-	10	10
variety- variety- Count-	PHTD5	PHTD5
variety- 1	PH1GD	PH1GD
Traits	997 tassel primary branch PH1GD PHTD5 (# of primary branches)	999 tassel primary branch PH1GD PHTD5 (# of primary branches)
Year	1997	1999

Summarized across years.

Prob (2- tail) Pooled	0.000
t-Value Pooled	-11.01
Pooled	38
or StdErr or-2	0.395
StdError -1	0.225
StdDevil :ation-2	1.765
StdDevi StdDevi StdError ation-1 ation-2 -1	1.005
Mean S	2.0
Mean- 2	9.2
Mean- 1	4.2
Count- 2	20
Count- 1	20
variety-2	PHTD5
variety-1	PH1GD
	ch (# of
Traits	issel primary branch (# of PH1GD PHTD5 rimary branches)
	ssel primary bra mary branches)
	₩ '=

Table 2. These data indicate differences between varieties PH1GD and PHTD5. Data are from multiple locations and years grown primarily in the adapted growing area.

Variety 1 = PH1GD Variety 2 = PHTD5

Variety 1	PH1GD	1	<u> </u>	
Variety 2	PHTD5	-		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		-		
		TAS	PLT	TAS
	VAR	SZ	HT	WT
YEAR	#	ABS	ABS	ABS
			СМ	
1995	1	5.0	201.9	2.2
	2	6.1	181.1	2.2 3.7
	LOCS	10	10	6
	PROB	.032+	.000#	.001#
1996	1	4.5	192.3	2.2
	2	5.9	170.9	3.5
	LOCS	19	16	6
	PROB	.000#	.000#	.000#
1997	1	4.3	201.9	2.6
	2	5.7	181.1	3.7
	LOCS	16	18	4
	PROB	.000#	.000#	.017+
				•
1998	1	4.4	197.1	2.2
	2	5.2	179.6	3.4
	LOCS	21	17	8
	PROB	.001#	.000#	.000#
1999	1	4.2	184.7	
	2	6.0		
	LOCS	13	13	
	PROB	.000#	.001#	
TOTAL	1	4.4	195.8	2.3
SUM	2	E 7	176 5	2.0
	LOCS	5.7	176.5	3.6
	DIFF	79 1.3	74	24
t toot			19.05 .000#	1.3 .000#
เ-เยรเ	LVOD	.000#	.000#	.000#

DESCRIPTION

In the description and examples, a number of terms are used herein. In order to provide a clear and consistent understanding of the specification and claims, including the scope to be given such terms, the following definitions are provided:

ANT ROT = **ANTHRACNOSE STALK ROT** (Colletotrichum graminicola).

A 1 to 9 visual rating indicating the resistance to Anthracnose Stalk Rot. A higher score indicates a higher resistance.

BAR PLT = **BARREN PLANTS.**

The percent of plants per plot that were not barren (lack ears).

BRT STK = BRITTLE STALKS.

This is a measure of the stalk breakage near the time of pollination, and is an indication of whether a hybrid or inbred would snap or break near the time of flowering under severe winds. Data are presented as percentage of plants that did not snap.

BU ACR = YIELD (BUSHELS/ACRE).

Yield of the grain at harvest in bushels per acre adjusted to 15.5% moisture.

CLD TST = COLD TEST.

The percent of plants that germinate under cold test conditions.

CLN = CORN LETHAL NECROSIS.

Synergistic interaction of maize chlorotic mottle virus (MCMV) in combination with either maize dwarf mosaic virus (MDMV-A or MDMV-B) or wheat streak mosaic virus (WSMV). A 1 to 9 visual rating indicating the resistance to Corn Lethal Necrosis. A higher score indicates a higher resistance.

COM RST = **COMMON RUST** (*Puccinia sorghi*).

A 1 to 9 visual rating indicating the resistance to Common Rust. A higher score indicates a higher resistance.

DIP ERS = **DIPLODIA EAR MOLD SCORES** (*Diplodia maydis and Diplodia macrospora*).

A 1 to 9 visual rating indicating the resistance to Diplodia Ear Mold. A higher score indicates a higher resistance.

DRP EAR = DROPPED EARS.

A measure of the number of dropped ears per plot and represents the percentage of plants that did not drop ears prior to harvest.

EARHT = EARHEIGHT.

The ear height is a measure from the ground to the highest placed developed ear node attachment and is measured in cm.

EAR MLD = GENERAL EAR MOLD.

Visual rating (1-9 score) where a "1" is very susceptible and a "9" is very resistant. This is based on overall rating for ear mold of mature ears without determining the specific mold organism, and may not be predictive for a specific ear mold.

EAR SZ = EAR SIZE.

A 1 to 9 visual rating of ear size. The higher the rating the larger the ear size.

ECB 1LF = EUROPEAN CORN BORER FIRST GENERATION LEAF FEEDING (Ostrinia nubilalis).

A 1 to 9 visual rating indicating the resistance to preflowering leaf feeding by first generation European Corn Borer. A higher score indicates a higher resistance.

ECB 2IT = EUROPEAN CORN BORER SECOND GENERATION INCHES OF TUNNELING (Ostrinia nubilalis).

Average inches of tunneling per plant in the stalk.

ECB 2SC = **EUROPEAN CORN BORER SECOND GENERATION** (Ostrinia nubilalis).

A 1 to 9 visual rating indicating post flowering degree of stalk breakage and other evidence of feeding by European Corn Borer, Second Generation. A higher score indicates a higher resistance.

2000000223

ECB DPE = EUROPEAN CORN BORER DROPPED EARS (Ostrinia nubilalis).

Dropped ears due to European Corn Borer. Percentage of plants that did not drop ears under second generation corn borer infestation.

EGRWTH = EARLY GROWTH.

This is the visual rating (1 to 9) of the amount of vegetative growth after emergence at the seedling stage (approximately five leaves). A higher score indicates better vigor or early season growth.

EST CNT = EARLY STAND COUNT.

This is a measure of the stand establishment in the spring and represents the number of plants that emerge on per plot basis for the inbred or hybrid.

EYE SPT = **EYE SPOT** (Kabatiella zeae or Aureobasidium zeae).

A 1 to 9 visual rating indicating the resistance to Eye Spot. A higher score indicates a higher resistance.

FUS ERS = FUSARIUM EAR ROT SCORE. (Fusarium moniliforme or Fusarium subglutinans).
A 1 to 9 visual rating indicating the resistance to Fusarium ear rot. A higher score indicates a higher resistance.

GDU = GROWING DEGREE UNITS.

Using the Barger Heat Unit Theory, which assumes that maize growth occurs in the temperature range 50°F - 86°F and that temperatures outside this range slow down growth; the maximum daily heat unit accumulation is 36 and the minimum daily heat unit accumulation is 0. The seasonal accumulation of GDU is a major factor in determining maturity zones.

GDU SHD = GDU TO SHED.

The number of growing degree units (GDUs) or heat units required for an inbred line or hybrid to have approximately 50 percent of the plants shedding pollen and is measured from the time of planting. Growing degree units are calculated by the Barger Method, where the heat units for a 24-hour period are:

GDU = (Max. Temp. + Min. temp.) - 50/2

The highest maximum temperature used is 86° F. and the lowest minimum temperature used is 50°F. For each inbred or hybrid it takes a certain number of GDUs to reach various stages of plant development.

GDU SLK = GDU TO SILK.

The number of growing degree units required for an inbred line or hybrid to have approximately 50 percent of the plants with silk emergence from time of planting. Growing degree units are calculated by the Barger Method as given in GDU SHD definition.

GIBERS = GIBBERELLA EAR ROT (PINK MOLD) (Gibberella zeae).

A 1 to 9 visual rating indicating the resistance to Gibberella Ear Rot. A higher score indicates a higher resistance.

GLF SPT = **GRAY LEAF SPOT** (*Cercospora zeae-maydis*).

A 1 to 9 visual rating indicating the resistance to Gray Leaf Spot. A higher score indicates a higher resistance.

GOS WLT = GOSS' WILT (Corynebacterium nebraskense).

A 1 to 9 visual rating indicating the resistance to Goss' Wilt. A higher score indicates a higher resistance.

GRN APP = **GRAIN APPEARANCE**.

This is a 1 to 9 rating for the general appearance of the shelled grain as it is harvested based on such factors as the color of harvested grain, any mold on the grain, and any cracked grain. High scores indicate good grain quality.

HC BLT = HELMINTHOSPORIUM CARBONUM LEAF BLIGHT (Helminthosporium carbonum).

A 1 to 9 visual rating indicating the resistance to Helminthosporium infection. A higher score indicates a higher resistance.

HD SMT = **HEAD SMUT** (Sphacelotheca reiliana).

This score indicates the percentage of plants not infected.

KER KG = **KERNELS PER KILOGRAM.**

The number of kernels per 1 kilogram of seed after discard is removed.

KSZ DCD = **KERNEL SIZE DISCARD.**

The percent of discard seed; calculated as the sum of discarded tip kernels and extra large kernels.

MDM CPX = **MAIZE DWARF MOSAIC COMPLEX** (MDMV = Maize Dwarf Mosaic Virus and MCDV = Maize Chlorotic Dwarf Virus).

A 1 to 9 visual rating indicating the resistance to Maize Dwarf Mosaic Complex. A higher score indicates a higher resistance.

MST = HARVEST MOISTURE.

The moisture is the actual percentage moisture of the grain at harvest.

NLF BLT = NORTHERN LEAF BLIGHT (Helminthosporium turcicum or Exserohilum turcicum).

A 1 to 9 visual rating indicating the resistance to Northern Leaf Blight. A higher score indicates a higher resistance.

PLT HT = PLANT HEIGHT.

This is a measure of the height of the plant from the ground to the tip of the tassel in cm.

POL SC = **POLLEN SCORE.**

A 1 to 9 visual rating indicating the amount of pollen shed. The higher the score the more pollen shed.

POL WT = **POLLEN WEIGHT.**

This is calculated by dry weight of tassels collected as shedding commences minus dry weight from similar tassels harvested after shedding is complete.

PRM = PREDICTED RELATIVE MATURITY.

This trait, predicted relative maturity, is based on the harvest moisture of the grain. The relative maturity rating is based on a known set of checks and utilizes standard linear regression analyses and is also referred to as the Comparative Relative Maturity Rating System that is similar to the Minnesota Relative Maturity Rating System.

PRM SHD = PREDICTED RELATIVE MATURITY GDU TO SHED.

A relative measure of the growing degree units (GDU) required to reach 50% pollen shed. Relative values are predicted values from the linear regression of observed GDU's on relative maturity of commercial checks.

RT LDG = ROOT LODGING.

Root lodging is the percentage of plants that do not root lodge; plants that lean from the vertical axis at an approximately 30° angle or greater would be counted as root lodged.

SCT GRN = SCATTER GRAIN.

A 1 to 9 visual rating indicating the amount of scatter grain (lack of pollination or kernel abortion) on the ear. The higher the score the less scatter grain.

SEL IND = **SELECTION INDEX.**

The selection index gives a single measure of the hybrid's worth based on information for up to five traits. A maize breeder may utilize his or her own set of traits for the selection index. One of the traits that is almost always included is yield. When selection index data is presented, the tables represent the mean value averaged across testing stations.

SLF BLT = SOUTHERN LEAF BLIGHT (Helminthosporium maydis or Bipolaris maydis).

A 1 to 9 visual rating indicating the resistance to Southern Leaf Blight. A higher score

indicates a higher resistance.

SOU RST = **SOUTHERN RUST** (*Puccinia polysora*).

A 1 to 9 visual rating indicating the resistance to Southern Rust. A higher score indicates a higher resistance.

STAGRN = STAYGREEN.

Staygreen is the measure of plant health near the time of black layer formation (physiological maturity). A high score indicates better late-season plant health.

STK CNT = NUMBER OF PLANTS.

This is the final stand or number of plants per plot.

STK LDG. = STALK LODGING.

This is the percentage of plants that did not stalk lodge (stalk breakage) as measured by either natural lodging or pushing the stalks and determining the percentage of plants that break below the ear.

STW WLT = **STEWART'S WILT** (*Erwinia stewartii*).

A 1 to 9 visual rating indicating the resistance to Stewart's Wilt. A higher score indicates a higher resistance.

TASBRN = TASSEL BRANCHES.

This is the number of primary tassel branches.

TAS SZ = TASSEL SIZE.

A 1 to 9 visual rating was used to indicate the relative size of the tassel. The higher the rating the larger the tassel.

TAS WT = TASSEL WEIGHT.

This is the average weight of a tassel (grams) just prior to pollen shed.

TEX EAR = EAR TEXTURE.

A 1 to 9 visual rating was used to indicate the relative hardness (smoothness of crown) of mature grain. A 1 would be very soft (extreme dent) while a 9 would be very hard (flinty or very smooth crown).

TILLER = TILLERS.

A count of the number of tillers per plot that could possibly shed pollen was taken. Data are given as a percentage of tillers: number of tillers per plot divided by number of plants per plot.

TST WT = TEST WEIGHT (UNADJUSTED).

The measure of the weight of the grain in pounds for a given volume (bushel).

YLD SC = YIELD SCORE.

A 1 to 9 visual rating was used to give a relative rating for yield based on plot ear piles. The higher the rating the greater visual yield appearance.

United States Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Marketing Service Science Division, Plant Variety Protection Office National Agricultural Library Building, Room 500 Beltsville, MD 20705

Objective Description of Variety Corn (Zea mays L.)

Name of Appli	cant (s)	Variety Seed Source	Varie	ty Name or Temporary Designation
Pioneer Hi	-Bred International, Inc.			PH1GD
Address (Stree	t & No., or RFD No., City, State, Zip Code an	d Country	FOR OFFICIAL USE	
7301 NW 6	2 nd Avenue, P.O. Box 85,			_
	owa 50131-0085		PVP0 Number	
Leading zeroe Necessary for	priate number that describes the varietal chars s if necessary. Completeness should be strive an adequate variety description and must be c CES (Use in conjunction with Munsell color of	n for to establish an adequate ompleted.	variety description. Traits	designated by an '*' are considered
01=Light Gree		11=Pink	16=Pale Purple	21=Buff
02=Medium G	reen 07=Yellow	12=Light Red	17=Purple	22=Tan
03=Dark Green	08=Yellow Orange	13=Cherry Red	18=Colorless	23=Brown
04=Very Dark	Green 09=Salmon	14=Red	19=White	24=Bronze
05=Green-Yell	ow 10=Pink-Orange	15=Red & White	20=White Capped	25=Variegated (Describe) 26=Other (Describe)
STANDARD I	NBRED CHOICES			
(Use the most s	imilar (in background and maturity) of these	to make comparisons based on	grow-out trial data):	
Yellow Dent F	amilies:	Yellow Dent (Unrelated)	: Sweet C	corn:
Family M	embers	Co109, ND246,	C13, Id	owa5125, P39, 2132
B14 C	M105, A632, B64, B68	Oh7, T232,		
B37 B3	37, B76, H84	W117, W153R,	Popcorn	:
B73 N	192, A679, B73, NC268	W18BN	SG153	3, 4722, HP301, HP7211
C103 M	o17, Va102, Va35, A682			
Oh43 A	619, MS71, H99, Va26	White Dent:	Pipecom	1:
WF9 W	64A, A554, A654, Pa91	C166, H105, Ky228	Mo15V	V, Mo16W, Mo24W

Groups on Lynx/Osborn/Grunst/98-99PVP

2 1=Sweet 2=Dent 3=Flint 4=Flour 5=Pop 6=Ornamental CM105 2. REGION WHERE DEVELOPED IN THE U.S.A.: 2 1=Northwest 2=Northcentral 3=Northeast 4=Southeast 5=Southcentral 6=Southwest 7=Other Northwest, Northeast, West AMES.1: 3. MATURITY (In Region of Best Adaptability; show Heat Unit formula in 'Comments' section) DAYS HEAT UNITS 068 1,200.4 From emergence to 50% of plants in silk 967 1,199.0 067 1,188.4 From emergence to 50% of plants in pollen 967 1,199.0 030 9,085.9 From 10% to 90% pollen shed 967 1,199.0 From 50% silk to optimum edible quality 506 1,289.0 Pown 10% to 90% pollen shed 967 1,199.0 607 1,191.7 From 50% silk to harvest at 25% moisture 965 1,289.0 4. PLANT: Standard Sample Deviation Standard Sample Deviation 185.9 cm Plant Height (to base of top ear node) 10,05 07 07 067.0 14,79 014.5 014.7 cm Length of Top Ear Internode 91,32 07 07 0.0 90,01 07 07 0.0 00,03 0.0 1.2 Average Number of Tillers 90,01 07 07 0.0 90,03 0.0 2 Anthocyanin of Brace Roots: 1=Absent 2=Faint 3=Moderate 4=Dark 4 5. LEAF: Standard Deviation Size 90,02 00,03 07 07 00 00,03 07 07 00 00,03 07 07 00 00,03 07 07 00 00,03 00 00 00,00 00 00 00,00 00 00	y Name
2 1=Northwest 2=Northcentral 3=Northeast 4=Southeast 5=Southcentral 6=Southwest 7=Other Northwest, Northeast, West 3. MATURITY (In Region of Best Adaptability; show Heat Unit formula in 'Comments' section') DAYS HEAT UNITS 068 1,200.4 From emergence to 50% of plants in silk 067 1,188.4 From emergence to 50% of plants in pollen 070 0,000.5 From 10% to 90% pollen shed 070 0,000.5 From 50% silk to optimum edible quality 070 From 50% silk to optimum edible quality 070 From 50% silk to harvest at 25% moisture 4. PLANT: Standard Sample Deviation Size 070 170.0 16.66 073.4 cm Ear Height (to tassel tip) 15.96 cm Plant Height (to tassel tip) 11.09 10.05 07 11.00 0.00.03 1.2 Average Number of Tillers 0.0 Average Number of Ears per Stalk 0.0 Average Number of Ear Node Leaf 0.0	
### 6=Southwest 7=Other Northwest, Northeast, West 3. MATURITY (In Region of Best Adaptability; show Heat Unit formula in 'Comments' section') DAYS HEAT UNITS 068	Source
DAYS HEAT UNITS 068 1,200.4 From emergence to 50% of plants in silk 067 1,188.4 From emergence to 50% of plants in pollen 067 1,191.7 003 0,085.9 From 10% to 90% pollen shed From 50% silk to optimum edible quality From 50% silk to harvest at 25% moisture 065 1,289.0	<u>315</u>
068 1,200,4 From emergence to 50% of plants in sillk 067 1,188.4 From emergence to 50% of plants in pollen 067 1,199.0 067 1,199.0 067 1,199.0 067 1,191.7 003 0,085.9 From 40% to 90% pollen shed 067 1,191.7 003 0,082.3 0,082.3 0,085.9 From 50% silk to optimum edible quality 003 0,082.3 0,082.3 0,085.9 From 50% silk to harvest at 25% moisture 5tandard Sample Deviation Size Deviation Size 0,095.0 1,095.0 0,097.0 1,095.0 0,097.0 1,095.0 0,097.0 1,095.0 0,097.0 1,095.0 0,097.0 1,095.0 0,097.0 1,095.0 0,095.0 1,095.0 0,097.0 1,095.0 0,095.0 1,095.0 0,097.0 1,095.0 0,095.0 1,095.0 0,095.0 1,095.0 0,097.0 1,095.0 0,095.0 1,095.0 0,095.0 1,095.0 0,095.0 1,095.0 0,095.0 1,095.0 0,095.0 1,095.0 0,095.0 0,095.0 1,095.0 0,095.0	
1,188.4 From emergence to 50% of plants in pollen 067 1,191.7	NTS
003 0.085.9 From 10% to 90% pollen shed From 50% silk to optimum edible quality From 50% silk to harvest at 25% moisture Deviation Size Deviation Deviation Size Deviation D	
## From 50% silk to optimum edible quality From 50% silk to harvest at 25% moisture ### A. PLANT: Standard Sample Deviation Size Devia	
## Prom 50% silk to harvest at 25% moisture ## A. PLANT: Standard Sample Deviation Size Deviation	
4. PLANT: Standard Deviation Size Deviation Size Deviation Size Deviation 185.9 cm Plant Height (to tassel tip) 15.96 07 170.0 16.66 073.4 cm Ear Height (to base of top ear node) 10.05 07 067.0 14.79 014.7 cm Length of Top Ear Internode 01.32 07 014.1 01.84 0.0 Average Number of Tillers 00.01 07 0.0 00.03 1.2 Average Number of Ears per Stalk 00.37 07 1.0 00.10 2 Anthocyanin of Brace Roots: 1=Absent 2=Faint 3=Moderate 4=Dark 5. LEAF: Standard Deviation Size Deviation Size 08.6 cm Width of Ear Node Leaf Deviation Size 09.63 07 07 09.63 07.0 09.63 09.63 07 09.63 07 09.63 07 09.63 07 09.63 07 09.63 07 09.63 07 09.63 07 09.65	
Deviation Size Deviation Size Deviation 15.96 07 170.0 16.66 073.4 cm Ear Height (to base of top ear node) 10.05 07 067.0 14.79 014.7 cm Length of Top Ear Internode 01.32 07 014.1 01.84 0.0 Average Number of Tillers 00.01 07 0.0 00.03 0.0	
185.9 cm Plant Height (to tassel tip) 15.96 07 170.0 16.66 073.4 cm Ear Height (to base of top ear node) 10.05 07 067.0 14.79 067.0 14.79 067.0 14.79 07.0 067.0 14.79 07.0 07.0 07.0 00.00 00.03 07.0 07.0 00.00 00.03 07.0 07.0	Sampl
073.4 cm Ear Height (to base of top ear node) 10.05 07 067.0 14.79 014.7 cm Length of Top Ear Internode 01.32 07 014.1 01.84 0.0 Average Number of Tillers 00.01 07 0.0 00.03 1.2 Average Number of Ears per Stalk 00.37 07 1.0 00.10 2 Anthocyanin of Brace Roots: 1=Absent 2=Faint 3=Moderate 4=Dark 4 4 5. LEAF: Standard Deviation Size Standard Deviation Size Deviation Deviation Size 08.6 cm Width of Ear Node Leaf 00.62 07 07.0 00.63 81.3 cm Length of Ear Node Leaf 03.07 07 75.5 06.87 05 Number of leaves above top ear 00.53 07 06 00.65 33 Degrees Leaf Angle (measure from 2nd leaf above ear at anthesis to stalk above leaf) 13.14 07 41 06.61 03 Leaf Color (Munsell code) 5GY34 03 50 1 Leaf Sheath Pubescence (Rate on scale from 1=none to 9=like peach fuzz) 1 1 3 Marginal Waves (Rate on scale from 1=none to 9=many) 6 5	Size
014.7 cm Length of Top Ear Internode 01.32 07 0.04.1 01.84 00 0.01 07 0.0 00.03 0.01 07 0.0 00.03 0.02 00.03 0.03 00.03 0.07 0.0 00.03 0.07 0.0 00.03 0.07 0.0 00.03 0.07 0.0 00.03 0.07 0.0 00.03 0.07 0.0 00.03 0.07 0.0 00.03 0.07 0.0 00.03 0.07 0.0 00.03 0.07 0.0 00.03 0.08 0.0 0.03 0.08 0.0 0.03 0.09 0.0 0.00 0.09 0.0 0.03 0.09 0.0 0.03 0.09 0.0 0.03 0.09 0.0 0.03 0.09 0.0 0.03 0.09 0.0 0.03 0.09 0.0 0.03 0.09 0.0 0.03 0.09 0.0 0.03 0.09 0.0 0.03 0.09 0.0 0.03 0.09 0.0 0.03	<u>07</u>
Q.0 Average Number of Tillers Q0.01 Q7 Q.0 Q0.00.03 1.2 Average Number of Ears per Stalk Q0.37 Q7 1.0 Q0.10 2 Anthocyanin of Brace Roots: 1=Absent 2=Faint 3=Moderate 4=Dark 4 4 5. LEAF: Standard Sample Deviation Size Size Size Size Size Size Size Size	<u>07</u>
1.2 Average Number of Ears per Stalk 00.37 07 1.0 00.10 2 Anthocyanin of Brace Roots: 1=Absent 2=Faint 3=Moderate 4=Dark 4 4 5. LEAF: Standard Deviation Size Size Deviation Size Size Size Size Size Size Size Size	<u>07</u>
2 Anthocyanin of Brace Roots: 1=Absent 2=Faint 3=Moderate 4=Dark 4 5. LEAF: Standard Deviation Size Deviatio	<u>07</u>
5. LEAF: Standard Deviation Sample Deviation Size Deviation Standard Deviation Size Deviation Standard Deviation Size Deviation Standard Deviation Size Deviation Size Deviation Deviation Size Deviation On Size Deviation Deviation On Size Deviation On Size Deviation Of On	<u>07</u>
08.6 cm Width of Ear Node Leaf 00.62 07 07.0 00.63 81.3 cm Length of Ear Node Leaf 03.07 07 75.5 06.87 05 Number of leaves above top ear 00.53 07 06 00.65 33 Degrees Leaf Angle (measure from 2nd leaf above ear at anthesis to stalk above leaf) 13.14 07 41 06.61 03 Leaf Color (Munsell code) 5GY34 03 50 1 Leaf Sheath Pubescence (Rate on scale from 1=none to 9=like peach fuzz) 1 3 Marginal Waves (Rate on scale from 1=none to 9=many) 6 5 Longitudinal Creases (Rate on scale from 1=none to 9=many) 6 6 TASSEL: Standard Deviation Size Deviation Standard Deviation Size Deviation 04 Number of Primary Lateral Branches 00.67 06 05 04.50 24 Branch Angle from Central Spike 06.01 06 28 07.14	
08.6 cm Width of Ear Node Leaf 00.62 07 07.0 00.63 81.3 cm Length of Ear Node Leaf 03.07 07 75.5 06.87 05 Number of leaves above top ear 00.53 07 06 00.65 33 Degrees Leaf Angle (measure from 2nd leaf above ear at anthesis to stalk above leaf) 13.14 07 41 06.61 03 Leaf Color (Munsell code) 5GY34 03 50 1 Leaf Sheath Pubescence (Rate on scale from 1=none to 9=like peach fuzz) 1 3 Marginal Waves (Rate on scale from 1=none to 9=many) 6 5 Longitudinal Creases (Rate on scale from 1=none to 9=many) 6 6. TASSEL: Standard Sample Deviation Size Deviation Standard Deviation Size Deviation 04 Number of Primary Lateral Branches 00.67 06 05 04.50 24 Branch Angle from Central Spike 06.01 06 28 07.14	Sample
81.3 cm Length of Ear Node Leaf 03.07 07 75.5 06.87 05 Number of leaves above top ear 00.53 07 06 00.65 33 Degrees Leaf Angle (measure from 2nd leaf above ear at anthesis to stalk above leaf) 13.14 07 41 06.61 03 Leaf Color (Munsell code) 5GY34 03 50 1 Leaf Sheath Pubescence (Rate on scale from 1=none to 9=like peach fuzz) 1 1 3 Marginal Waves (Rate on scale from 1=none to 9=many) 6 6 5 Longitudinal Creases (Rate on scale from 1=none to 9=many) 6 Standard 6 TASSEL: Standard Sample Deviation Deviation 04 Number of Primary Lateral Branches 00.67 06 05 04.50 24 Branch Angle from Central Spike 06.01 06 28 07.14	
05 Number of leaves above top ear 00.53 07 06 00.65 33 Degrees Leaf Angle (measure from 2nd leaf above ear at anthesis to stalk above leaf) 13.14 07 41 06.61 03 Leaf Color (Munsell code) 5GY34 03 5C 1 Leaf Sheath Pubescence (Rate on scale from 1=none to 9=like peach fuzz) 1 1 3 Marginal Waves (Rate on scale from 1=none to 9=many) 6 6 5 Longitudinal Creases (Rate on scale from 1=none to 9=many) 6 Standard 6. TASSEL: Standard Deviation Size Size Deviation Deviation Size 04 Number of Primary Lateral Branches 00.67 06 05 04.50 24 Branch Angle from Central Spike 06.01 06 28 07.14	<u>07</u>
33 Degrees Leaf Angle (measure from 2nd leaf above ear at anthesis to stalk above leaf) 13.14 07 41 06.61 03 Leaf Color (Munsell code) 5GY34 03 50 1 Leaf Sheath Pubescence (Rate on scale from 1=none to 9=like peach fuzz) 1 1 3 Marginal Waves (Rate on scale from 1=none to 9=many) 6 6 5 Longitudinal Creases (Rate on scale from 1=none to 9=many) 6 Standard Sample Deviation 6. TASSEL: Standard Sample Deviation Size Deviation Size<	<u>07</u>
at anthesis to stalk above leaf) 03 50 03 Leaf Color (Munsell code) 5GY34 03 50 1 Leaf Sheath Pubescence (Rate on scale from 1=none to 9=like peach fuzz) 1	<u>07</u>
1 Leaf Sheath Pubescence (Rate on scale from 1=none to 9=like peach fuzz) 1 3 Marginal Waves (Rate on scale from 1=none to 9=many) 6 5 Longitudinal Creases (Rate on scale from 1=none to 9=many) 6 6. TASSEL: Standard Sample Deviation Size 04 Number of Primary Lateral Branches 00.67 06 05 04.50 24 Branch Angle from Central Spike 06.01 06 28 07.14	<u>07</u> .
3 Marginal Waves (Rate on scale from 1=none to 9=many) 6 6 5 Longitudinal Creases (Rate on scale from 1=none to 9=many) Standard Sample 6. TASSEL: Deviation Size Deviation 04 Number of Primary Lateral Branches 00.67 06 05 04.50 24 Branch Angle from Central Spike 06.01 06 28 07.14	Y44
3 Marginal Waves (Rate on scale from 1=none to 9=many) 6 6 5 Longitudinal Creases (Rate on scale from 1=none to 9=many) Standard Sample Standard 6. TASSEL: Deviation Size Deviation Deviation 04 Number of Primary Lateral Branches 00.67 06 05 04.50 24 Branch Angle from Central Spike 06.01 06 28 07.14	
Standard Deviation Standard Deviation Standard Deviation Standard Deviation Size Deviation Standard Deviation Deviation Standard Deviation Deviation Deviation Deviation Deviation Deviation Deviation Deviation Deviatio	
Deviation Size Deviation Deviation Size Deviation Deviatio	
04 Number of Primary Lateral Branches Deviation Size Deviation 24 Branch Angle from Central Spike 00.67 06 05 04.50 24 Branch Angle from Central Spike 06.01 06 28 07.14	Sample
24 Branch Angle from Central Spike 06.01 06 28 07.14	Size
24 Branch Angle from Central Spike 06.01 06 28 07.14	<u>07</u>
46.1 cm Tassel Length (from top leaf collar to tassel tip) 02.79 06 48.2 02.63	<u>07</u>
	<u>07</u>
5 Pollen Shed (rate on scale from 0=male sterile to 9=heavy shed) 6	
17 Anther Color (Munsell code) 10RP36 07 5	<u>Y94</u>
01 Glume Color (Munsell code) 5GY66 01 50	Y66
1 Bar Glumes (Glume Bands): 1=Absent 2=Present 1	
Application Variety Data Page 1 Standard Variet	Data

Application	Variety Data PH1GD P	age 2			Standard V	ariety Data
7a. EAR (Unhusked Data):					
<u>01</u>	Silk Color (3 days after emergence) (Munsell co	ode)		2.5GY78	<u>07</u> <u>2</u>	:.5GY96
<u>01</u>	Fresh Husk Color (25 days after 50% silking) (M	Fresh Husk Color (25 days after 50% silking) (Munsell code) 5GY68				5GY66
<u>21</u>	Dry Husk Color (65 days after 50% silking) (Mun	iseli code)		10YR84		.5Y8.54
<u>2</u>	Position of Ear at Dry Husk Stage: 1= Upright 2	= Horizontal 3	3= Pendant		<u>3</u>	
<u>5</u>	Husk Tightness (Rate of Scale from 1=very loos	e to 9=very tig	ght)		<u>5</u>	
<u>2</u>	Husk Extension (at harvest): 1=Short (ears expo	sed) 2=Mediu	ım (<8 cm)		<u>2</u>	
	3=Long (8-10 cm beyond ear tip) 4=Very Long (>10 cm)				
7b. EAR	(Husked Ear Data):		Standard	Sample	Standar	d Sampl
			Deviation	Size	Deviation	n Size
<u>14.4</u>	cm Ear Length		00.53	<u>07</u>	<u>13.7</u> <u>00.9</u>	<u>5</u> <u>07</u>
<u>37.7</u>	mm Ear Diameter at mid-point		<u>02.43</u>	<u>07</u>	<u>39.3</u> <u>01.5</u>	<u>0</u> 07
<u>098.1</u>	gm Ear Weight		<u>11.91</u>	<u>07</u>	74.1 22.8	<u>4</u> <u>07</u>
<u>15</u>	Number of Kernel Rows		<u>00.95</u>	<u>07</u>	<u>14.1</u> <u>00.6</u>	<u>9 07</u>
<u>2</u>	Kernel Rows: 1=Indistinct 2=Distinct				<u>2</u>	
2	Row Alignment: 1=Straight 2=Slightly Curved 3=	Spiral			1	
<u>11.1</u>	cm Shank Length		<u>01.07</u>	<u>07</u>	<u>10.3</u> <u>01.5</u>	<u>0 07</u>
<u>2</u>	Ear Taper: 1=Slight 2= Average 3=Extreme				<u>2</u>	
8. KERNE	iL (Dried)		Standard	Sample	Standard	Sampl
			Deviation	Size	Deviation	Size
<u>10.0</u>	mm Kernel Length		00.00	<u>07</u>	<u>09.4</u> <u>00.53</u>	<u>07</u>
<u>08.0</u>	mm Kernel Width		00.00	<u>07</u>	<u>07.9</u> <u>00.69</u>	<u>07</u>
<u>05.1</u>	mm Kernel Thickness		00.38	<u>07</u>	<u>04.4</u> <u>00.53</u>	<u>07</u>
<u>43.0</u>	% Round Kernels (Shape Grade)		<u>14.35</u>	<u>07</u>	<u>27.7</u> <u>23.03</u>	<u>07</u>
1 .	Aleurone Color Pattern: 1-Homozygous 2=Segre	gating			1	
<u>07</u>	Aluerone Color (Munsell code)		<u>10`</u>	YR714	<u>07</u> 2	2.5Y814
<u>07</u>	Hard Endosperm Color (Munsell code)		<u>10`</u>	YR712	<u>07</u> 2	2.5Y814
<u>03</u> i	Endosperm Type:				<u>3</u>	
	1=Sweet (Su1) 2=Extra Sweet (sh2) 3=Norm 4=High Amylose Starch 5=Waxy Starch 6=Hi 7=High Lysine 8=Super Sweet (se) 9=High O 10=Other	gh Protein				
<u>25.1</u>	gm Weight per 100 Kernels (unsized sample)		02.85	<u>07</u>	22.14 05.27	<u>07</u>
9. COB:			Standard	Sample	Standa	ard Sample
			Deviation	Size	Deviat	
<u>21.4</u> r	mm Cob Diameter at mid-point		01.51	<u>07</u>	<u>25.3</u> 01.3	<u>8 07</u>
	Cob Color (Munsell code)	10R56		<u></u>	14	10R46
<u>···</u> `						

Application Variety Data

Page 3

Standard Variety Data

10. DISEASE R	ESISTANCE (Rate from 1 (most susceptible) to 9 (most resistant);		
leave blank	if not tested; leave Race or Strain Options blank if polygenic):		
A. Leaf B	lights, Wilts, and Local Infection Diseases		
	Anthracnose Leaf Blight (Colletotrichum graminicola)		
<u>8</u>	Common Rust (Puccinia sorghi)	<u>6</u>	
	Common Smut (Ustilago maydis)		
<u>6</u>	Eyespot (Kabatiella zeae)	<u>6</u>	
<u>7</u>	Goss's Wilt (Clavibacter michiganense spp. nebraskense)	Z	
<u>7</u>	Gray Leaf Spot (Cercospora zeae-maydis)	<u>5</u>	
	Helminthosporium Leaf Spot (Bipolaris zeicola) Race ——		
<u>5</u>	Northern Leaf Blight (Exserohilum turcicum) Race ——	4	
	Southern Leaf Blight (Bipolaris maydis) Race ———		
	Southern Rust (Puccinia polysora)		
<u>4</u>	Stewart's Wilt (Erwinia stewartii)	<u>3</u>	
	Other (Specify) ———		
B. Systen	nic Diseases		
	Corn Lethal Necrosis (MCMV and MDMV)		
<u>5</u>	Head Smut (Sphacelotheca reiliana)	9	
_	Maize Chlorotic Dwarf Virus (MDV)	_	
	Maize Chlorotic Mottle Virus (MCMV)		
	Maize Dwarf Mosaic Virus (MDMV)		
	Sorghum Downy Mildew of Corn (Peronosclerospora sorghi)		
	Other (Specify) ———		
C. Stalk F	Rots		
O. Otalic i			
	Anthracnose Stalk Rot (Colletotrichum graminicola)		
	Diplodia Stalk Rot (Stenocarpella maydis)		
	Fusarium Stalk Rot (Fusarium moniliforme)		
	Gibberella Stalk Rot (Gibberella zeae)		
	Other (Specify) ———		
D. Ear an	d Kernel Rots		
	Aspergillus Ear and Kernel Rot (Aspergillus flavus)		
	Diplodia Ear Rot (Stenocarpella maydis)		
	Fusarium Ear and Kernel Rot (Fusarium moniliforme)		
<u>5</u>	Gibberella Ear Rot (Gibberella zeae)	<u>3</u>	
<u></u>	Other (Specify) ——	_	
	• • •		

Application Variety Data

Page 3

Standard Variety Data

PH1GD Application Variety Data Page 4

Standard Variety Data

11. INSECT RES	SISTANCE (Rate from	1 (most susceptible) to 9 (most resi	sistant); (leave blank if not tested) :
	Banks grass Mite (O Corn Worm (Helicov Leaf Feeding Silk Feeding mg larval wt.	ligonychus pratensis) erpa zea)	
	Ear Damage		
	Corn Leaf Aphid (Rh	opalosiphum maidis)	
	Corn Sap Beetle (Ca	· ·	
	European Corn Bore	• •	
<u>4</u>		pically Whorl Leaf Feeding) ypically Leaf Sheath-Collar Feeding	<u>5</u>
	Fall Armyworm (Spo	dontera fruginerda)	
	Leaf Feeding	doptera iruqiperda)	
	Silk Feeding		
	mg larval wt.		·
	Maize Weevil (Sitoph	nilus zeamaize	
	Northern Rootworm		
		(Diabrotica undecimpunctata)	:
		Borer (Diatreaea grandiosella)	
	Leaf Feeding	granded,	
	Stalk Tunneling		
	cm tunneled/plant		
	•	Mite (Tetranychus urticae)	
		Diabrotica virgifrea virgifera)	
	Other (Specify)		
12. AGRON	IOMIC TRAITS:		
<u>5</u>	Staygreen (at 65 day on a scale from 1=w	s after anthesis) (Rate orst to excellent)	2
<u>0.5</u>	% Dropped Ears (at	65 days after anthesis)	0.0
	% Pre-anthesis Brittle	e Snapping	
	% Pre-anthesis Root	Lodging	
<u>18.6</u>	Post-anthesis Root L	odging (at 65 days after anthesis)	<u>3.6</u>
<u>5,143.2</u>	Kg/ha Yield of Inbred	Per Se (at 12-13% grain moisture)	3,181.1
13. MOLECU	JLAR MARKERS: (0=c	lata unavailable; 1=data available b	out not supplied; 2=data supplied):
	1 Isozymes	0 RFLP's	0 RAPD's
COMMENTS (eg. s lata was collected.	state how heat units w Continue in Exhibit D	ere calculated, standard inbred seed)):	ed source, and/or where
pplication Variety	Data	Page 4	Standard Variety Data

Please note the data presented in Exhibit C, "Objective Description of Variety," are collected primarily at Johnston and Ankeny, Iowa. The data in Exhibit B are from comparisons of inbreds grown in the same tests in the adapted growing area of PH1GD and in Johnston and Ankeny, IA. The data in Tables 1A and 1B are from paired comparisons collected in Johnston and Ankeny, IA. The data in Table 2 are from paired comparisons grown primarily in the adapted growing area of PH1GD. These traits collectively show distinct differences between the two varieties.

The data collected in exhibit C were collected from environments in 1996, 1997 and 1999 for page 1 and 2. There are factors that differ from environment to environment. The environments had different planting dates. Environmental temperature and precipitation differences during the vegetative and grain fill periods can impact plant and grain traits and be a source of variability. These data are mostly based on 5 plants measured at each location. There often is more variability associated with year to year and environment to environment factors than within locations. Please see Table 3 for average temperature and rainfall information in 1996, 1997, and 1999.

A paired comparison is used to make the best comparisons possible. For page 1 and 2 the data in this application for 'CM105' is based on 1996, 1997 and 1999 data. Some differences can result simply due to the fact that the public check variety is included in more environments of testing along with the PVP variety being filed.

Table 3. Temperature and Rainfall

TEMPERATURE

YEAR	MAY	JUN	JULY	AUG	AVERAGE
1994	59.8	70.7	71.9	69.0	67.9
1995	56.2	69.4	74.3	76.9	69.2
1996	56.2	69.3	71.3	70.5	66.8
1997	53.5	70.6	74.1	69.6	67.0
1998	64.7	66.6	74.8	73.5	69.9
1999	60.7	69.7	78.7	70.5	69.9
1998	64.7	66.6	74.8	73.5	69.9

RAINFALL

YEAR	MAY	JUN	JULY	AUG	Total
1994	3.67	5.75	1.71	4.18	15.31
1995	5.04	4.19	2.94	2.87	15.04
1996	8.47	4.35	2.51	2.14	17.47
1997	4.32	3.27	4.10	1.36	13.05
1998	6.46	11.07	5.70	4.96	28.19
1999	6.46	4.54	4.45	6.55	21.85

		200000223
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE	The following statements are made in accordance with the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U. S. C. 552a) and the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995.	
EXHIBIT E STATEMENT OF THE BASIS OF OWNERSHIP	Application is required in order to determine if a plant variety protection certificate is to be issued (7 U.S.C. 2421). Information is held confidential until certificate is issued (7 U.S.C. 2426).	
1. NAME OF APPLICANT(S)	2. TEMPORARY DESIGNATION OR EXPERIMENTAL NUMBER	3. VARIETY NAME
PIONEER HI-BRED INTERNATIONAL, INC.	ON EX EXIMENTAL NUMBER	PH1GD
4 .ADDRESS (Street and No., or R.F.D. No., City, State, and ZIP, and Country)	5. TELEPHONE (include area code)	6. FAX (include area code)
7301 NW 62 nd AVENUE	515-270-4051	515-253-2125
P.O.BOX 85 JOHNSTON, IA 50131-0085	7. PVPO NUMBER	1
9. Is the applicant (individual or company) a U.S. national or U.S. based compan	y? ⊠ YES □ NO	
If no, give name of country 10. Is the applicant the original owner? ☑ YES ☐ NO If no, p	lease answer <u>one</u> of the following:	
If original rights to variety were owned by individual(s), is(are) the original rights to variety were owned by individual(s), is(are) the original rights to variety were owned by individual(s), is(are) the original rights to variety were owned by individual(s), is(are) the original rights to variety were owned by individual(s), is(are) the original rights to variety were owned by individual(s), is(are) the original rights to variety were owned by individual(s), is(are) the original rights to variety were owned by individual(s), is(are) the original rights to variety were owned by individual(s), is(are) the original rights to variety were owned by individual(s), is(are) the original rights to variety were owned by individual(s), is(are) the original rights to variety were owned by individual(s), is(are) the original rights to variety were owned by individual(s), is(are) the original rights to variety were owned by individual(s), is(are) the original rights to variety were owned by individual(s), is(are) the original rights to variety were owned by individual rights to variety were owned by the original rights to variety wer	inal owner(s) a U.S. national(s)?	
☐ YES ☐ NO if no, give name of country		
b. If original rights to variety were owned by a company(ies), is(are) the original owner(s) a U.S. based company?		
☑ YES ☐ NO If no, give name of country		
11. Additional explanation on ownership (if needed, use reverse for extra space):		
PH1GD is owned by Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc.		
DI FASE NOTE:		

Plant variety protection can be afforded only to owners (not licensees) who meet one of the following criteria:

- If the rights to the variety are owned by the original breeder, that person must be a U.S. national, national of a UPOV member country, or national of a country Which affords similar protection to nationals of the U.S. for the same genus and species.
- If the rights to the variety are owned by the company which employed the original breeder(s), the company must be U.S. based, owned by nationals of a UPOV member country, or owned by national of a country which affords similar protection to nationals of the U.S. for the same genus and species.
- If the applicant is an owner who is not the original owner, both the original owner and the applicant must meet one of the above criteria.

The original breeder/owner may be the individual or company who directed final breeding. See section 41(a)(2) of the Plant Variety Protection Act for definition.

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0581-0055. The time required to compete this information collection is estimated to average 10 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in its programs on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, religion, age, disability, political beliefs, and marital or familial status (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs). Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at 202-720-2600 (voice and TDD).

To file a complaint, write Secretary of Agriculture, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250, or call 1-800-245-6340 (voice) or (202) 720-1127 (TDD) USDA is an equal employed